



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/731,318	12/06/2000	Steve Paboojian	015225-005420US	1028
21968	7590	12/04/2006	EXAMINER	
NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS 150 INDUSTRIAL ROAD SAN CARLOS, CA 94070				MENDOZA, MICHAEL G
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3734				

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

Application Number: 09/731,318
Filing Date: December 06, 2000
Appellant(s): PABOOJIAN ET AL.

MAILED
DEC 04 2006
GROUP 3700

Paboojian et al.
For Appellant

EXAMINER'S ANSWER

This is in response to the appeal brief filed 14 September 2006 appealing from the
Office action mailed 19 August 2006.

(1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

(2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

(4) Status of Amendments After Final

No amendment after final has been filed.

(5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

(6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

(7) Claims Appendix

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

(8) Evidence Relied Upon

3980074

Watt et al.

9-1976

Art Unit: 3734

(9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watt et al. 3980074.

Watt et al. teaches a receptacle comprising: a receptacle body that defines an enclosed cavity containing powdered medicament (col. 2, lines 27-28), wherein the receptacle body has a top end and a bottom end , and wherein the bottom end of the receptacle body includes a raised central region that extends upwardly into the cavity; wherein the receptacle body further comprises at least one curved wall that in combination with the raised central region forms a generally semi-toroidal geometry in the cavity; wherein a portion of the bottom end is flat in geometry; and wherein the receptacle body further includes a tab extending from the cavity (see figures).

(10) Response to Argument

The Appellant argues that the device of Watt et al. does not disclose an enclosed cavity. The definition of enclosed as stated in the final rejection is: surrounded by walls, objects or structures. The Examiner disagrees that the device of Watt et al. does not enclose a cavity. The cavity is enclosed by a sidewall, a bottom, and a removable top. Although the top has vents the cavity is still enclosed. An example of an enclosure is cage. The bars of a cage enclose a defined space and is still open to the surrounding environment.

Art Unit: 3734

(11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael Mendoza

Conferees:


Tom Hughes

Michael Hayes

